

Determination of the sensitivity and specificity of the MIC Test Strips (MTS) mechanisms of detection against in-house methods for 644 multi-drug resistant (MDR) strains: a European multi-centre study

Canton R.; Gniadkowski M.; Morosini M.; Pirs M.; Rossolini G.; Sukhorukova M.; Tsakris A.; Vrioni G.; Walsh T.R; Zabicka D.

Medical Microbiology, Hospital University of Ljubljana (Zaloška, Slovenia); Medical Microbiology, University of Siena (Siena, Italy); Antimicrobial Resistance, Russian Antimicrobial Resistance Reference Laboratory (Smolensk, Russia); Department of Microbiology, University of Athens, Greece); Medical Microbiology, Cardiff University (Cardiff, UK)

Introduction. ESBL positive and Carbapenemases positive Enterobacteriaceae (CPE) have now become a global concern. CPE are often express MDR and XDR phenotypes with increasing reports of pan-resistance. The spread of ESBLs is regions of low resistance and KPC, MBLs and OXA-48/181 in regions where ESBLs are established impact on therapeutic failure and increase morbidity.

Therefore, the implementation of a rapid and accurate assessment to detect antibiotic resistance mechanisms in Gram-negative pathogens is essential. To evaluate various Liofilchem® MTS in detecting extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) and carbapenemases [metallo- β -lactamases (MBLs) and OXA-48 (and OXA-181)]. Five centres across Europe and one in Russia tested the MTS against inhouse methods.

Methods. In total, 644 MDR Gram-negative isolates (103 from Greece; 104 from Poland; 66 from Italy; 125 from the UK; 110 from Spain and 136 from Russia) (Table) were tested. In-house methods for phenotype included agar dilution, microbroth dilution, double-disk synergy and modified Hodge test. In-house genotype testing included PCR, PCR fragment restriction digestion and sequencing. Those isolates containing only ESBLs, MBLs, OXA-48 and AmpC numbered 285, 86, 30 and 21, respectively. Of the double mechanisms of resistance ESBL plus MBL and ESBL plus OXA-48 were the most numerous with 61 and 21, respectively. In-house control ATCC and NCTC strains were used to validate all data. In addition we also tested 10 strains with defined phenotypes under double-blind conditions – see Table 1

Table 1. Results of accuracy of MTS for detecting β -lactamases with defined genotypes across 5 countries

| Ref. | Species | relevant genotype | ESBL MTS | | | MBL MTS | | KPC (MER) | KPC (ERT) |
|------|--------------|--|----------|-----|-----|---------|-----|-----------|-----------|
| | | | CTX | CTZ | FEP | IMI | MER | | |
| C1 | E. coli | | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 5/6 |
| C2 | P. stuarti | TEM-1, CTX-M-15 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 |
| C3 | E. coli | TEM-1, OXA-1, CTX-M-15 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 |
| C4 | Klebsiella | SHV-1, OXA-48 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 4/6 | 4/6 |
| C5 | Klebsiella | TEM-1, DHV-1, CTX-M-15, OXA-1, | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 5/6 |
| C6 | E. coli | DHA-1, NDM-1 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 4/6 | 4/6 |
| C7 | Enterobacter | CMY-2 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 |
| C8 | Klebsiella | TEM-1, SHV-1, CTX-M-15, OXA-1, CMY-7 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 |
| C9 | Klebsiella | SHV-1, KPC | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 |
| C10 | Klebsiella | TEM-1, SHV-1, CTX-M-15, OXA-1, DHA-1, NDM- | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 2/6 | 2/6 |

Results. In Table 2, the numbers denote the correct result according to the in-house phenotype. The sensitivity and specificity for the ESBLs ranged from 84-100% and 78-100%, respectively; and for MBLs were 85-100% and all 100%, respectively. The overall sensitivity and specificity for ESBLs and MBLs were 95 and 93%, and 95 and 100%, respectively. Those strains containing both types of enzymes had an overall sensitivity of 98%. Detection of OXA-48 was based on carbapenem resistance but non-MBL and non-KPC (data not shown) and had an overall sensitivity of 26/30 (87%).

Table 2. MTS Sensitivity and Specificity against in-house genetic methods in 6 centres (ND; not detected)

| | | E SBLs | MBLs | OXA-48 | AmpC | ESBL + MBL | ESBL + OXA-48 | ESBL + AmpC | MBL + OXA-48 |
|--------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| Site 1 | Sensitivity | 19/20* (95) | 21/24**(88) | 2/2# (100) | 6/8 (75) | 8/8 (100) | 3/4 (75) | 3/4 (75) | /0 |
| | Specificity | 80/83 (96) | 79/79 (100) | | | | | | |
| | | * 1 ND | ** 1 NEG | # none of | | | 3 ESBL POS | 1 negative | |
| | | | ** 2 ND | MBL and KPC | | | 1 ND | | |
| Site 2 | Sensitivity | 49/52* (94) | 25/26**(96) | 0/1#(0) | /0 | /0 | /0 | /0 | /0 |
| | Specificity | 41/52 (80)## | 78/78 (100) | | | | | | |
| | | * 3 ND | ** 1 NEG | # KPC POS | | | | | |
| Site 3 | Sensitivity | 22/23* (96) | 9/14**(64) | 0/2#(0) | 5/6 (83) ## | /0 | /0 | /0 | /0 |
| | Specificity | 43/43 (100) | 44/44 (100) | | | | | | |
| | | * 1 NEG | ** 2 NEG | # POS for KP0 | ## POS for k | (PC | | | |
| | | | ** 3 ND | MBL and KPC | | | | | |
| Site 4 | Sensitivity | 42/42 (100) | 11/11 (100) | /0 | /0 | 35/36 (97) | /0 | 4/4 (100) | 2/6 |
| | Specificity | 80/83 (96) | 79/79 (100) | | | | | | 4 ND |
| Site 5 | Sensitivity | 42/50 (84)* | 11/11 (100) | 24/24 (100) | 5/5 (100) | /0 | 4/4(100) | 1/1 (100) | /0 |
| | Specificity | 47/60 (78) | 99/99 (100) | | | | | | |
| Site 6 | Sensitivity | 98/98 (100) | /0 | 0/1(0) | /0 | 17/17 (100%) | 13/13 (100) | /0 | /0 |
| | Specificity | 38/38 (100) | 118/119 (99%) | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL Specificity | 272/285 (95) | 82/86 (95) | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL Sensitivity | 333/359 (93) | 497/498 (100) | | | | | | |

Conclusions. This is the first European multi-centre study evaluating MTS detecting mechanisms of β -lactam resistance against in-house methods. The overall sensitivity and specificity for ESBLs and MBLs were very high and based on elimination of other carbapenem resistance (MBLs and KPC), detection of OXA-type carbapenems was acceptable. Therefore, for most types of β -lactam resistance mechanisms, MTS is a good alternative to routine methods .

Email: walshtr@cardiff.ac.uk